

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/567,921 | 02/10/2006 | Taro Kurita | 284921US6PCT | 5890 | |
| OBLON, SPIN | 7590 05/11/200 /AK, MCCLELLAND | EXAM | EXAMINER | | |
| 1940 DUKE STREET | | | ЈАСОВ, АЛТН | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2161 | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 05/11/2009 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/567,921 | KURITA, TARO | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| AJITH JACOB | 2161 | |

| | AJITH JACOB | 2161 | |
|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 01 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: I box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or I | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| | TINOTINE ET WASTI | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further coi (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); | E below); | |
| appeal; and/or | to rolling appear by materially roc | tuoning or our purying a | 10 100000 101 |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | : | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | t canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | be entered and an e | planation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se | l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Apu M Mofiz/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2161 | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: This action is responsive to applicant's arguments filed on 6/30/2008 that has been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Kryloff et al. (US 2003/0028867 A1) fails to disclose archive file creating means for creating an archive file for at least one file backed up, wherein identification information of a destination terminal at which the archive file is to be decompressed to the archive file so that the archive file can be decompressed only at the destination terminal specified by the identification information. For claim 1, "the archive file can be decompressed only at the destination terminal specified by the identification information is taught by paragraphs 0024-0025 as referred in the 102 rejection above. The paragraphs mentioned teaches the advallability of algiple to a distribution of authentication that prevent on about the paragraph sensing the files to be extraction at the user end once the identification is authenticated. This is also clearly portrayed in Figure 13 of the Kryloff reference. Applicant also argues that in the Martschistich et al. (US 6,23,026 B1) reference, the counting is incremented traced of decremented. Since the counter in the reference and the instant application does the same function of counting [column 1, lines 31-44], the argument is moot.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ajith Jacob whose telephone number is 571-270-1763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00 EST, Every other Friday off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Apu Mofiz can be reached on 571-272-4080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications are variable through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see thtp://lipia-idrierct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 366-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (KN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AJ Patent Examiner May 7, 2009